

Tuesday, April 1, 2014

Muhtar Kent Chairman and Chief Executive Officer The Coca-Cola Company 1 Coca Cola Plaza NW Atlanta, Georgia 30313

Re: Demand Letter and Notice of UCC-1 Commercial Lien re: Mangum, Everson, Starks and Graham vs. The Coca-Cola Company, 1:01-CV-2525 (RWS), Mangum vs. The Coca-Cola Company, 1:03-CV-00223, Wallace and Mangum vs. The Coca-Cola Company, 1:03-CV-00223, Wallace and Mangum vs. The Coca-Cola Company, et al; 1:03-CV-73797 (RWS) and Goodman, Mangum, et. al., 1:03-CV-3387 (RWS)

Dear Mr. Kent:

This letter serves as an official notice to The Coca-Cola Company of my intent to exercise all legal options under the law that are available to me, which will include, but not limited to: invoking a UCC-1 commercial lien against your company, The Coca-Cola Company (herein "Coca-Cola"), Elizabeth Finn Johnson as its designated agent, and all others that have conspired to violate my civil and human rights in the above captioned cases.

Mr. Kent I have become fully aware of the criminal acts, the unethical activities and the immoral misconduct that your company, Coca-Cola, and their co-conspirators perpetrated against me during my case litigation, and its subsequent dismissal. I have been denied due process and equal protection under the law, which I know was due to the fraud and conspiracy perpetrated by your company, Coca-Cola; Gary Williams and Watson, P.L. (herein "Gary Group"); Holland & Knight; King & Spalding; Willie E. Gary, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson and others in the above captioned cases.

The criminal acts, unethical activities and immoral misconduct that your company, Coca-Cola, the Gary Group, Holland & Knight, King & Spalding, Willie E. Gary, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson and others have engaged in can easily be proven in the presence of a fair and impartial jury. These overt acts include, but are not limited to your company, Coca-Cola:

- Engaging in fraud and conspiracy with others for the unlawful disposal of my case, which I have recorded conversations of with individuals informing me of what happened during the litigation of my case, along with other evidence.
- 2. Failing to provide sworn affidavits of truth denying the fact that Willie E. Gary, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson or any entity/organization in which they hold interests individually/collectively, and any others received any financial benefit/special concession(s) or any other from Coca-Cola directly or indirectly from an entity/organization acting in Coca-Cola's interests in performing fraud and conspiratorial acts during the litigation of my case and thereafter.

- Failing to provide a sworn affidavit stating that your company, Coca-Cola, Holland & Knight, Weldon Latham, Willie E. Gary, Jesse Jackson, King & Spalding, Michael Johnston, Elizabeth Finn Johnson and others individually/collectively did not participate in diverting or concealing any financial payment away from me as a lawful plaintiff in my cases.
- 4. Failing to provide a sworn affidavit denying that your company, Coca-Cola, provided Weldon Latham, Willie E. Gary, Jesse Jackson and others or any entity/organization in which they hold interests individually/collectively any part of the Fifty Million Dollars (\$50,000,000 US) which was allotted for "miscellaneous minority activism and minority non-profits" in the Coca-Cola class action settlement.
- 5. Concealing financial transaction(s), special favors and monies paid by your company, Coca-Cola, to Holland & Knight, Weldon Latham, Willie E. Gary, Jesse Jackson, King & Spalding, Michael Johnston, Elizabeth Finn Johnson and others or any entity/organization in which they hold interests individually/collectively, on behalf of my claims, which I have recorded conversations of with individuals informing me of what happened in the litigation of my case and its subsequent unlawful disposal, along with other evidence.
- 6. Tampering with and concealing my deposition testimony witnessed by Marietta Goodman and Elizabeth Finn Johnson wherein I testified several times in response to Michael Johnston's questions that I had not received any money from Willie E. Gary in settlement of my case, which was redacted from the videotaped deposition and transcript that I received.
- 7. Orchestrating and colluding with judges, Willie E. Gary, Jesse Jackson, Weldon Latham, Holland & Knight, King & Spalding, Michael Johnston, Elizabeth Finn Johnson, Gary Williams and Watson, P.L., and others to perpetuate judicial misconduct and fraud upon the court in my cases and all other Title VII claims filed against Coca-Cola in the Northern District Court of Georgia.
- 8. Advising witnesses subpoenaed or served notice in my case that they had to neither attend nor participate in a lawful deposition approved by the court.
- Organizing verbal, physical and death threats against me by colluding with management to "handle me severely" during the course of my employment at Coca-Cola's Beverage Base Plant (herein "BBP").
- 10. Conspiring with Coca-Cola employees and others to make false statements against me to support Coca-Cola's decision to: a) wrongfully terminate my employment and conceal the financial benefit/special concessions received by said employees or others; b) continue the discrimination, harassment, retaliation, etc., I experienced at BBP; and c) cover up the distribution and sale of contaminated beverages, the multi-million fraud on women and minority owned businesses, repeated violations of Fair Wage and Hour Practices, the intentional overstatement of inventory, delayed processing of African American vendor invoices to dissuade them from continuing business with Coca-Cola, violation of OSHA laws, etc.
- 11. Willfully defamed my character by publicizing a false statement that an employee was terminated for hacking into computers containing employee confidential information and identifying me as the hacker to a FMLA investigator, which I recorded, along with other evidence.
- 12. Conspiring with Willie E. Gary; the Gary Group; former Mayor of Atlanta, Bill Campbell; King & Spalding, Michael Johnston, Elizabeth Finn Johnson and others individually/collectively to orchestrate my wrongful termination and to defame my character after my unlawful firing, which I recorded, along with other evidence.

- 13. Committing wire fraud in collusion with Willie E. Gary, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson, Holland and Knight, King and Spalding and others to conceal the financial benefit/special concessions or others bestowed upon Willie E. Gary, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson, Holland and Knight, King and Spalding and all others or any entity/organization in which they hold interests individually/collectively, who participated in the litigation of my case and thereafter, and its subsequent unlawful disposal, which I have recorded conversations of with individuals informing me of what happened, along with other evidence.
- 14. Colluding with Willie E. Gary, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson, Holland and Knight, King and Spalding, and others to defraud me of my legal rights under the First, Fifth, Seventh and Ninth Amendment to the U.S Constitution, which I have recorded in conversation with individuals informing me of what happened in the litigation of my case and its subsequent unlawful disposal, along with other evidence.
- 15. Concealing millions of dollars that were paid to Willie E. Gary, Weldon Latham, Jesse Jackson or any entity/organization in which they hold interests individually/collectively on my behalf, which was funded through alternate means from others serving in the interests of Coca-Cola for the unlawful disposal of my case, which I have recorded conversations of with individuals informing me of what happened in the litigation of my case and its subsequent unlawful disposal.
- Committing fraud upon the court, obstructing justice and engaging in a conspiracy to obstruct justice.
- 17. Acting fraudulently and maliciously in the course of my litigation to cause me irreparable harm, including, but not limited to, loss of employment, shelter, life, liberty and justice.
- 18. Acts of fraud and illegal tampering with evidence, violation of 18 U.S.C. §1506.
- 19. Conspiracy to interfere with civil rights, violation of 42 U.S.C. §1985(3).
- Violation of the Racketeering Influence and Corruption Organization Act ("RICO"), 18 U.S.C. §1961-1968.
- 21. Violating the Organized Crime Control Act ("OCCA").

These are just some of the examples of legal malpractice, fraud, criminal acts, unethical activities and immoral conduct that your company, Coca-Cola, Holland & Knight, Weldon Latham, Willie E. Gary, Jesse Jackson, King & Spalding, Michael Johnston, Elizabeth Finn Johnson and others have engaged in to defraud me of my constitutional rights to life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness afforded every human being of these United States.

I have been fully apprised of these acts and know in fact that they constitute a crime. Your company, Coca-Cola, and its co-conspirators have caused complete monetary, physical, mental and emotional devastation to me and my loved ones who supported me during the course of this litigation and thereafter. Accordingly, your company, Coca-Cola, Holland & Knight, Weldon Latham, Willie E. Gary, Jesse Jackson, King & Spalding, Michael Johnston, Elizabeth Finn Johnson and all others in this matter will be held accountable to the letter of the law.

I will be filing a UCC-1 Commercial Lien against The Coca-Cola Company, King and Spalding, Holland & Knight and Gary, Williams and Watson, P.L. for **One Billion, Five Hundred Million Dollars** (\$1,500,000,000 US) each, and a separate claim against Elizabeth Finn Johnson, Coca-Cola's designated agent in the captioned cases; Willie E. Gary; Jesse Jackson; Weldon Latham; C.K. Hoffler; Bill Campbell and Michael Johnston for **One Hundred Million Dollars** (\$100,000,000 US) each.

Mr. Kent please let me reiterate, it is my intent to levy a UCC-1 Commercial Lien against your company, Coca-Cola, for **One Billion, Five Hundred Million Dollars** (\$1,500,000,000 US) for failure to provide a sworn affidavit attesting, but not limited to the following and the enumerations above:

- Providing the breakdown of the \$50 million dollars (\$50,000,000) for "miscellaneous minority
 activism and minority non-profits" and any and all other monies paid to Willie E. Gary, Weldon
 Latham, Holland and Knight, Jesse Jackson and all others or entity/organization in which they
 hold interests individually/collectively in the Coca-Cola class action settlement,
- Providing the manner in which your company, Coca-Cola, Holland & Knight, Weldon Latham,
 Willie E. Gary, Jesse Jackson, King & Spalding, Michael Johnston, Gary, Williams and Watson, P.L.
 and others or any entity/organization in which they hold interests individually/collectively,
 diverted/concealed financial payment away from me as a lawful plaintiff in my cases, and
- 3. Providing the terms and conditions of any financial benefit, special concession(s) or other favors, figures and all other information under which your company, Coca-Cola, has given to Weldon Latham, Willie E. Gary, Jesse Jackson and others or any entity/organization in which they hold interests individually/collectively, received from Coca-Cola directly or indirectly from an entity/organization acting in Coca-Cola's or others interests in performing fraud and conspiratorial acts during the litigation of my case and thereafter.

A Common Law Commercial Lien is a process that any Human Being can employ in order to obtain lawful remedy from the actions of another Human Being(s) who have- or have attempted to -or have conspired to - damage said Human in some way. The reason for this is very simple: Since all are equal under the LAW, then each Human Being has a Duty of Care to each other Human Being, such as to make sure that - whatever action we take towards each other - we have the Common Law behind those actions, and thus can live together in peace. Abrogating said Duty of Care is a CRIMINAL ACT, and constitutes a tort.

Mr. Kent I know that your company, Coca-Cola, and Elizabeth Finn Johnson, as its designated agent, have participated in a tort or torts against My Human Self. I have been defrauded by your company, Coca-Cola and others.

The UCC-1 Commercial Lien process is a construct of the Common Law (The Law-of-the Land). Thus any Human Being residing in this country is subject to the Common Law above all else. And that includes the individual(s), to whom this Notice is addressed.

The process comprises:

- I will write a Statement of Truth (Affidavit), under penalty of perjury. This being the case, what I
 will write will be "the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth", and will thus be based
 on first-hand knowledge.
- 2. You will be sent a copy of this Affidavit, comprising of my allegations. You will have to REBUT EACH POINT in order to ward off the possibility of a Lien. You will be given ten (10) days to do so, but I can assure you that you will not be able to rebut EVEN ONE SINGLE POINT. You will need to rebut by means of a sworn Affidavit of your own, written under the same criteria, namely: From firsthand knowledge, and under penalty of perjury.
- Any points you manage to rebut with proof will be removed from my allegations, and the
 remainder kept as my final Affidavit. The result will be Notarized (by a Notary Public) to become
 My Statement of Truth, which will not only become THE TRUTH, IN LAW- but will also become A
 JUDGMENT, IN LAW.

- 4. That being the case, no Hearing will be required. Because the judgment has already been made by the truth. (That's Common Law!)
- 5. I will then place a Public Advertisement, warning whomsoever may be concerned, that your creditworthiness is henceforth highly suspect. I will inform Credit Reference Agencies to this effect. I would then be LAWFULLY ENTITLED TO SIEZE ANY OF YOUR PROPERTY, up to (and including) the value of the Lien.
- This process will occur in a LAWFUL manner- because you are given the chance to REBUT IN SUBSTANCE- and I will thus retain entirely 'clean hands' (unlike yourselves, which is why your mechanism is UNLAWFUL, and why I am able to counter it by this LAWFUL means).
- 7. As footnotes, I should add that:
 - a. Even if I make an honest mistake, WHICH YOU FAILED TO REBUT, my mistake BECOMES THE TRUTH, IN LAW. You will not be able to claim 'libel', 'slander', etc., because you will have been given ten (10) days to rebut the allegations, before public announcement.
 - By a failure to REBUT IN SUBSTANCE, you would have tacitly acquiesced to my Statements as Truths, in Law.
 - c. REBUT IN SUBSTANCE does not comprise simply dismissing my allegations. That is mere gainsaying. "IN SUBSTANCE" means "accompanying with HARD proofs" (in this case, "to the contrary").
- 8. As a part of the Lien, I will demand a substantial sum in recompense/settlement of the damages.
- 9. Being Common Law construct, the only way this Lien can be removed is by:
 - a. Full Payment or settlement ... in which case I will remove is by
 - b. The passage of 99 years
 - c. The verdict of a Jury of twelve (12), deciding that the Lien should not have been imposed. But this will require YOU to take ME to a Court whereupon I will be able to explain (to said Jury) exactly how you took actions which comprised the tort(s) against me WITHOUT ANY LAWFUL EXCUSE WHATSOEVER. DO NOT, UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES, ASSUME THAT ANY JUDGE CAN REMOVE A LIEN. A JUDGE CONNOT DO THAT, AND JUDGES KNOW THAT (because it is a Common Law, NOT A STATUTORY, process).

This letter is not intended to threaten, harass or intimidate anyone, but to only provide the chance for these wrongs to finally be made right. I hope and pray that you will accept this opportunity to do so. I will allow ten (10) days from the date of this letter for your answer or rebuttal before taking further action.

Sincerely,

Sharron D. Mangum

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME

MY COMMISSION IS FOR LIFE

The state of the s